

ippm  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: April 20, 2018

H. Song, Ed.  
T. Zhou  
Huawei  
October 17, 2017

In-situ OAM Data Type Extension  
draft-song-ippm-ioam-data-extension-00

Abstract

This document describes a proposal which extends in-situ OAM to support potential future standard tracing data in addition to those currently defined. We provide use cases to motivate our proposal and base the modifications on the latest in-situ OAM header format specification.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 20, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. Motivation for Data Type Extension . . . . .         | 2 |
| 2. Scalable Data Type Extension . . . . .               | 3 |
| 2.1. Data Type Bitmap . . . . .                         | 3 |
| 2.2. Use Cases . . . . .                                | 4 |
| 2.3. Consideration for Efficient Data Packing . . . . . | 5 |
| 2.4. Alternative Data Extension Possibilities . . . . . | 5 |
| 3. Security Considerations . . . . .                    | 6 |
| 4. IANA Considerations . . . . .                        | 6 |
| 5. Acknowledgments . . . . .                            | 6 |
| 6. Contributors . . . . .                               | 6 |
| 7. Informative References . . . . .                     | 6 |
| Authors' Addresses . . . . .                            | 6 |

## 1. Motivation for Data Type Extension

In-situ OAM (iOAM) [I-D.brockners-inband-oam-requirements] records OAM information within user packets while the packets traverse a network. The data types and data formats for in-situ OAM data records have been defined in [I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data].

Currently 12 data types and associated formats (including wide format and short format of the same data) are defined in [I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data]. The presence of data is indicated by a 16-bit bitmap in the "OAM-Trace-Type" field.

In the current specification only five bits are left to identify new standard data types. Moreover, some data is forced to be bundled together as a single unit to save bitmap space and pack data to the ideal size (e.g., the hop limit and the node id are bundled, and the ingress interface id and the egress interface id are bundled), regardless of the fact that an application may only ask for a part of the data. Last but not the least, each data is forced to be 4-byte aligned for easier access, resulting in waste of header space in many cases.

Since the data plane bandwidth, the data plane packet processing, and the management plane data handling are all precious yet scarce resource, the scheme should strive to be simple and precise. The application should be able to control the exact type and format of data it needs to collect and analyze. It is conceivable that more types of data may be introduced in the future. However, the current scheme cannot support it after all the bits in the bitmap are used up.

For example, when a flow traverses a series of middleboxes (e.g., Firewall, NAT, and load balancer), its identity (e.g., the 5-tuple)

is often altered, which makes the OAM system lose track of the flow trace. In this case, we may want to copy some of the original packet header fields into the iOAM header so the original flow can be identified at any point of the network.

For another example, in wireless, mobile, and optical network environments, some physical data associated with a flow (e.g., power, temperature, signal strength, GPS location) need to be collected to monitor the service performance.

Both cases require new iOAM data types. More examples are listed in Section 2.2.

Currently, bit 7 is used to indicate the presence of variable length opaque state snapshot data; Bit 5 and bit 10 are used to indicate the presence of the application specific data. While these data fields can be used to store arbitrary data, the data is difficult to be standardized and another schema is needed to decode the data, which may lead to low data plane performance as well as interoperability issues.

## 2. Scalable Data Type Extension

Based on the observation in Section 1, we propose a method for data type encoding which can solve the current limitation and address future data requirements.

### 2.1. Data Type Bitmap

Bitmap is simple and efficient data structure for high performance data plane implementation. The base bitmap size is kept to be 16 bits. We use one bit to indicate a single type of data in a single format. The last bit in the bitmap (i.e., bit 15), if set, is used to indicate the presence of the next data type bitmap, which is 32 bits long. In the second bitmap, bit 31 is again reserved to indicate a third bitmap, and so on. With each extra bitmap, 31 more data types can be defined.

Figure 1 shows an example of the in-situ OAM header format with two extended OAM trace type fields. Except the OAM Trace Type fields, all other fields remain the same as defined in [I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data].




Figure 1: Extended OAM Trace Type Header Format

The specification of the Base OAM Trace Type is the same as the OAM Trace Type in [I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data] except the last bit, which is defined as follows:

- o Bit 15: When set indicates presence of next bit map.

The OAM trace type fields are labeled as Base OAM Trace Type, Extended OAM Trace Type 1, Extended OAM Trace Type 2, and so on. The Base OAM Trace Type is always present. If no data type is asked by the application in Extended OAM Trace Type n and beyond, then the last bit in the previous bitmap is set to 1 and these extended fields are not included in the header. On the other hand, to eliminate ambiguity, if any data is asked for by the application in Extended OAM Trace Type n, then Extended OAM Trace Type 1 to (n-1) must be included in the header, even though no data type in these bitmaps are needed (i.e., all zero bitmap except the last bit).

The actual data in a node is packed together in the same order as listed in the OAM Trace Type bitmap. Each node is padded to be the multiple of 4 bytes.

## 2.2. Use Cases

New types of data can be potentially added and standardized, which demand new bits allocated in the OAM Trace Type bitmaps. Some examples are listed here.

- o Metered flow bandwidth.
- o Time gap between two consecutive flow packets.

- o Remaining time budget to the packet delivery deadline.
- o Buffer occupancy on the Node.
- o Queue depth on each level of hierarchical QoS queues.
- o Packet jitter at the Node.
- o Current packet IP addresses.
- o Current packet port numbers.
- o Time using different network timing protocol.
- o Other node statistics.

### 2.3. Consideration for Efficient Data Packing

The length of each data must be the multiple of 2 bytes. However, allowing different data type to have different length, while efficient in storage, makes data alignment and packing difficult.

If we can define the maximum number of data types that can be carried per packet, the offset of each data in the node can be pre-calculated and carried in the iOAM header. The overhead can be justified by the overall space saving of the node data list. Otherwise, each data's offset in the node must be calculated in each device, with the help of a table which stores the size of each data type. We can also arrange the bitmap to reflect the data availability order in the system (e.g., the bit for egress\_if\_id must be after the bit for ingress\_if\_id), so in a pipeline-based system, the required data can be packed one after one.

### 2.4. Alternative Data Extension Possibilities

Bitmap is simple and support parallel processing in hardware. However, it is not the only option to support data type extension. For example, cascaded TLV can be used to support arbitrary number of new data types. This can be implemented by using a flag bit to indicate the presence of extra data types and packing the number of types and the list of the type IDs after the trace option header. The corresponding data is therefore added in each node data list in the order as its type ID is listed in the extended trace option header.

### 3. Security Considerations

There is no extra security considerations beyond those have been identified by the original in-situ OAM proposals.

### 4. IANA Considerations

This memo includes no request to IANA.

### 5. Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Frank Brockners, Carlos Pignataro, and Shwetha Bhandari for helpful comments and suggestions.

### 6. Contributors

The document is inspired by numerous discussions with James N. Guichard. He also provided significant comments and suggestions to help improve this document.

### 7. Informative References

[I-D.brockners-inband-oam-requirements]

Brockners, F., Bhandari, S., Dara, S., Pignataro, C., Gredler, H., Leddy, J., Youell, S., Mozes, D., Mizrahi, T., <>, P., and r.remy@barefootnetworks.com, "Requirements for In-situ OAM", draft-brockners-inband-oam-requirements-03 (work in progress), March 2017.

[I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data]

Brockners, F., Bhandari, S., Pignataro, C., Gredler, H., Leddy, J., Youell, S., Mizrahi, T., Mozes, D., Lapukhov, P., Chang, R., and d.daniel.bernier@bell.ca, "Data Fields for In-situ OAM", draft-ietf-ippm-ioam-data-00 (work in progress), September 2017.

### Authors' Addresses

Haoyu Song (editor)  
Huawei  
2330 Central Expressway  
Santa Clara, 95050  
USA

Email: haoyu.song@huawei.com

Tianran Zhou  
Huawei  
156 Beiqing Road  
Beijing, 100095  
P.R. China

Email: zhoutianran@huawei.com