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7. Introduction

The usage of cryptographic algorithms defined by the GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012] and GOST R 34.11-2012 [GOST3411-2012] standards for protection of the information is carried out, as a rule, within the cryptographic protocols based on the accompanying algorithms.

The specifications of algorithms and parameters proposed in this memo are provided on the basis of experience in the development of cryptographic protocols, as described in the [RFC4357], [RFC4490] and [RFC4491].

This memo contains a description of the accompanying algorithms defining the pseudorandom functions, the key derivation functions, the key agreement protocols based on the Diffie-Hellman method and the algorithms used for export of key material.

This memo does not specify the cryptographic algorithms GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012] and GOST R 34.11-2012 [GOST3411-2012]. These algorithms are defined by the national standards GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012] and GOST R 34.11-2012 [GOST3411-2012] and described in [RFC7091] and [RFC6986] (an English version of Russian national standards).

The need to ensure compatibility of the cryptographic protocol implementations based on the Russian cryptographic standards GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012] and GOST R 34.11-2012 [GOST3411-2012] is served as the main reason for the development of this document.
2. Scope

This memo is recommended for usage in encryption and protection the authenticity of the data based on the usage of the digital signature algorithms GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012] and hash function GOST R 34.11-2012 [GOST3411-2012] in public and corporate networks to protect information that does not contain a classified information.
3. Conventions used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].
3.1. Notation

This document uses the following notation for the sets and operations on the elements of these sets in accordance with GOST R 34.11-2012 [GOST3411-2012]:
(xor) exclusive-or of two binary vectors of the same length;
V_n the finite-dimensional vector space over GF (2) of dimension $n$ with the (xor) operation, for $n=0$ the $V$ _O space consists of a single empty element of size 0 ;

U the element of $V \_n$; in the binary representation $U=$ (u_(n-1), u_(n-2), ..., u_1, u_0), where u_i in $\{0,1\}$;
$A \mid B \quad$ concatenation of vectors $A, B, i . e ., i f$ A in V_n1, B in V_n2, $A=\left(a_{-}(n 1-1), a_{-}(n 1-2), \ldots, a_{-}\right)$, and $B=\left(b_{\_}(n 2-1)\right.$, b_(n2-2), ... $\left.b \_0\right)$, then $A \mid B=\left(a_{-}(n 1-1), a_{-}(n 1-2), \ldots\right.$, $\left.a \_0, b \_(n 2-1), b \_(n 2-2), \ldots, b \_0\right)$ is an element of V_(n1+n2);
$V_{-}(8, r)$ the set of byte strings of size rif $i f$ is an element of $\mathrm{V}(8, r)$, then $W=\left(w^{\wedge} 0, w^{\wedge} 1, \ldots, w^{\wedge}(r-1)\right)$, where $w^{\wedge} 0, w^{\wedge} 1$, ..., $\mathrm{w}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{r}-1)$ are elements of $\mathrm{V} \_8$; if $A$ in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}(8, \mathrm{r} 1)$, B in $V_{-}(8, r 2), A=\left(a^{\wedge} 0, a^{\wedge} 1, \ldots, a^{\wedge}(r 1-1)\right)$, and $B=\left(b^{\wedge} 0, b^{\wedge} 1\right.$, $\left.\ldots, b^{\wedge}(r 2-1)\right)$, then $A \mid B=\left(a^{\wedge} 0, a^{\wedge} 1, \ldots, a^{\wedge}(r 1-1), b^{\wedge} 0\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{b}^{\wedge} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{~b}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{r} 2-1)\right)$ is an element of $\mathrm{V}_{-}(8, r 1+r 2)$;

Bit representation the bit representation of the element $W=\left(W^{\wedge} 0\right.$, $\left.w^{\wedge} 1, \ldots, w^{\wedge}(r-1)\right)$ of $V_{-}(8, r), ~ w h e r e ~ w^{\wedge} 0=\left(w, 7, w_{1} 6, \ldots\right.$, $\left.w_{1} 0\right), w^{\wedge} 1=\left(w_{1} 15, w_{1} 14, \ldots, w_{1} 8\right), \ldots w^{\wedge}(r-1)=\left(w_{-}(8 r-1)\right.$, $\left.w_{-}(8 r-2), \ldots, w_{-}(8 r-8)\right)$ are elements of $V_{-} 8$, is an element ( $\left.w_{-}(8 r-1), w_{-}(8 r-2), \ldots, w_{-} 1, w_{-} 0\right)$ of $V_{-}(8 * r) ;$

Byte representation if $n$ is a multiple of 8 , $r=n / 8$, then the byte representation of the element $W=\left(w_{-}(n-1), w_{-}(n-2), \ldots\right.$, $\left.w_{1} 0\right)$ of V_n is a byte string ( $\left.w^{\wedge} 0, w^{\wedge} 1, \ldots, w^{\wedge}(r-1)\right)$ of $V_{-}(8, r)$, where $w^{\wedge} 0=\left(w_{-} 7, w_{-} 6, \ldots, w_{-}\right), w^{\wedge} 1=\left(w_{-} 15\right.$, $\left.w_{-14}, \ldots, w_{-}\right), \ldots, w^{\wedge}(r-1)=\left(w_{-}(8 r-1), w_{-}(8 r-2), \ldots\right.$, $\left.w_{-}(8 r-8)\right)$ are elements of $V_{-} 8$;

K (key) arbitrary element of V_n; if $K$ in V_n, then its size (in bits) is equal to $n$, where $n$ can be an arbitrary natural number.

Note: It is proposed to interpret and edit the formulas in accordance with the above definitions.
3.2. Basic terms and definitions

This memo uses the following terms, abbreviations and symbols:

| Symbols | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: |
| H_256 | GOST R 34.11-2012 hash function, 256-bit |
| H_512 | GOST R 34.11-2012 hash function, 512-bit |
| HMAC | ```a function for calculating a message authentication code, based on hash function in accordance with [RFC2104]``` |
| HMAC_256 | an HMAC function based on the hash function H_256, intended for computing a message authentication code |
| HMAC_512 | an HMAC function based on the hash function H_512, intended for computing a message authentication code |
| PRF | a pseudorandom function, i.e., a transformation that allows to generate pseudorandom sequence of bytes |
| KDF | a key derivation function, i.e., a transformation, that allows to derive keys and keying material for the root key and random data using a pseudorandom function |

To produce a byte sequence of the size $r$ with functions that give a longer output the input should be taken from the output sequence of the first $r$ bytes. This remark applies to the following functions:

- the functions described in Section 4.2;
- KDF_TREE_GOSTR3411_2012_256.

When $n$ is multiple of 8 , an element of $V \_n$ can be represented in the bit and byte form. The result of operation $\ll \mid \gg$, applied to the elements in the bit representation is described in the bit representation. The result of the operation $\ll \mid \gg$, applied to the same elements in byte representation is described in the byte representation. Thus, the symbol $\ll \mid \gg$ is used to refer to two different operations, depending on the form of their arguments. The operation is uniquely determined by the representation of arguments.

Hereinafter all data (the elements of $V$ _n) are considered given in the byte representation unless otherwise specified. Operation <<|>> on the arguments of functions, unless explicitly stated, is performed on their byte representation.

If the function is defined outside this document (eg, H_256) and its definition is using arguments in bit representation, it is assumed that the bit representation of the argument is formed immediately before the calculation of the function (in particular, immediately after the application of the operation $\ll \mid \gg$ to the byte representation of the arguments).

If the output of another function that is defined outside of this document is used as the argument of the function defined below and has output value in bit representation, it is assumed that the output value will be translated into the byte representation before substitution in arguments.
4. Algorithm descriptions

For the algorithms described in this paper the possible values of the functions are limited by the permissibility of applying them as the input parameter of the transformations and are assigned by the protocols.
4.1. HMAC functions

This section defines the HMAC transformations based on GOST R 34.11-2012 [GOST3411-2012] algorithms.
4.1.1. HMAC_GOSTR3411_2012_256

This HMAC transformation is based on GOST R 34.11-2012
[GOST3411-2012] algorithm, 256-bit output. The identifier of this transformation is shown below:
id-tc26-hmac-gost-3411-12-256, <<1.2.643.7.1.1.4.1>>.

This algorithm uses $H \_256$ as a hash function for HMAC, described in [RFC2104]. The method of forming the values of ipad and opad is also given in [RFC2104]. The size of the HMAC_256 output in bytes is equal to 32 , the block size of the iterative procedure for the H_256 compression function in bytes is equal to 64 (in the notation of [RFC2104], $L=32$ and $B=64$, respectively).
4.1.2. HMAC_GOSTR3411_2012_512

This HMAC transformation is based on GOST R 34.11-2012 [GOST3411-2012] algorithm, 512-bit output. The identifier of this transformation is shown below:
id-tc26-hmac-gost-3411-12-512, <<1.2.643.7.1.1.4.2>>.

This algorithm uses H_512 as a hash function for HMAC, described in [RFC2104]. The method of forming the values of ipad and opad is also given in [RFC2104]. The size of the HMAC_512 output in bytes is equal to 64, the block size of the iterative procedure for the H_512 compression function in bytes is equal to 64 (in the notation of [RFC2104], $L=64$ and $B=64$, respectively).

### 4.2. PRF

This section defines six HMAC-based PRF transformations recommended for usage. Two of them are designed for the TLS protocol and four are designed for IPsec.
4.2.1. PRFs for the TLS protocol
4.2.1.1. PRF_TLS_GOSTR3411_2012_256

This is the transformation to implement the pseudorandom function of the TLS protocol in accordance with GOST R 34.11-2012 [GOST3411-2012]; the transformation uses P_GOSTR3411_2012_256 function that is similar to the P_hash function in Section 5 of [RFC2246], where HMAC_256 function (defined in Section 4.1.1) is used as an HMAC_hash function.

```
PRF_TLS_GOSTR3411_2012_256 (secret, label, seed) =
```

= P_GOSTR3411_2012_256 (secret, label | seed).
4.2.1.2. PRF_TLS_GOSTR3411_2012_512

This is the transformation to implement the pseudorandom function of the TLS protocol in accordance with GOST R 34.11-2012
[GOST3411-2012]; the transformation uses P_GOSTR3411_2012_512 function that is similar to the P_hash function in Section 5 of [RFC2246], where HMAC_512 function (defined in Section 4.1.2) is used as an HMAC_hash function.

PRF_TLS_GOSTR3411_2012_512 (secret, label, seed) = = P_GOSTR3411_2012_512 (secret, label | seed).
4.2.2. PRFs for the IPsec protocols based on GOST R 34.11-2012, 256-bit
4.2.2.1. PRF_IPSEC_KEYMAT_GOSTR3411_2012_256

This pseudorandom function used for the keying material generation is defined as follows (the arguments are the byte strings $K$ and $S$ ):

$$
\text { PRF_IPSEC_KEYMAT_GOSTR3411_2012_256 }(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{~S})=\mathrm{T} 1|\mathrm{~T} 2| \mathrm{T} 3|\mathrm{~T} 4| \ldots \text {, }
$$

where

| T1 $=$ HMAC_256 | $(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{S})$, |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T2 $=$ HMAC_256 | $(\mathrm{K}$, | T1 | $\mathrm{S})$, |
| T3 $=$ HMAC_256 | $(\mathrm{K}$, | T2 | $\mathrm{S})$, |
| T4 $=$ HMAC_256 | $(\mathrm{K}$, | T3 | $\mathrm{S})$, |

PRF_IPSEC_KEYMAT_GOSTR3411_2012_256 function is similar to KEYMAT function in [RFC2409] regarding the assignment scheme for the arguments in the iterations.

```
4.2.2.2. PRF_IPSEC_PRFPLUS_GOSTR3411_2012_256
```

The pseudorandom function PRF_IPSEC_PRFPLUS_GOSTR3411_2012_256 is similar to the prft function in [RFC7296], where HMAC_256 function is used as a prf function.
4.2.3. PRFs for the IPsec protocols based on GOST R 34.11-2012, 512-bit 4.2.3.1. PRF_IPSEC_KEYMAT_GOSTR3411_2012_512

This pseudorandom function for the keying material generation is defined as follows (the arguments are the byte strings $K$ and $S$ ):

```
PRF_IPSEC_KEYMAT_GOSTR3411_2012_512 (K, S) = T1| T2| T3| T4|...,
```

where

```
T1 = HMAC_512 (K, S),
T2 = HMAC_512 (K, T1 S),
T3 = HMAC_512 (K, T2 S),
T4 = HMAC_512 (K, T3 S),
```

...

PRF_IPSEC_KEYMAT_GOSTR3411_2012_512 is similar to KEYMAT function in [RFC2409] regarding the assignment scheme for the arguments in iterations.
4.2.3.2. PRF_IPSEC_PRFPLUS_GOSTR3411_2012_512

The pseudorandom function PRF_IPSEC_PRFPLUS_GOSTR3411_2012_512 is similar to the prft function in [RFC7296], where HMAC_512 function is used as a prf function.
4.3. VKO algorithms for key agreement

This section identifies the key agreement algorithms using GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012].
4.3.1. VKO_GOSTR3410_2012_256

The VKO_GOSTR3410_2012_256 transformation is used for an agreement of the VKO 256-bit keys and based on GOST R 34.11-2012 [GOST3411-2012], 256-bit. This algorithm can be applied for a key agreement using the GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012] 256-bit and 512-bit keys.

The algorithm is designed to produce an encryption key or a keying material of size 256 bits to be used in various cryptographic protocols. Key or keying material KEK_VKO (x, y, UKM) is produced by the side of communication from his private key $x$, the public key $y * P$ of the opposite side and UKM value, considered as a number.

The algorithm can be used for deriving both static and ephemeral key with the public key size $n>=512$ bits including the case where one side uses a static key and the other - ephemeral.

UKM parameter is optional (the default UKM = 1) and can take any value from 1 to $2^{\wedge}(n / 2)-1$. It is allowed to use a nonzero UKM of arbitrary size not exceeding $n / 2$ bits. UKM size of 64 bit or more is recommended for cases where the keys at least one of the parties are static.

K is calculated using formula
$K(x, y, U K M)=(m / q * U K M * x \bmod q) *(y * P)$,
where $m$ and $q$ are the parameters of the elliptic curve defined in the GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012] notation.

KEK_VKO is calculated using formula

$$
\text { KEK_VKO }(x, y, U K M)=H \_256(K(x, y, U K M)) .
$$

This algorithm is defined by analogy with Section 5.2 of [RFC4357], but applies the hash function $H$ _256 instead of the hash function GOST R 34.11-94 [GOST3411-94] (referred as gostR3411) and $K(x, y, U K M)$ is calculated with public key size $n>=512$ bits and UKM size up to $n / 2$ bits.

### 4.3.2. VKO_GOSTR3410_2012_512

The VKO_GOSTR3410_2012_256 transformation is used for an agreement of the VKO 512-bit keys and based on GOST R 34.11-2012 [GOST3411-2012], 512-bit. This algorithm can be applied for a key agreement using the GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012] 512-bit keys.

The algorithm is designed to produce an encryption key or keying material of size 512 bits to be used in cryptographic protocols. Key or keying material KEK_VKO (x, y, UKM) is produced by the exchange participant from his private key $x$, the public key $y * P$ of the opposite side and the UKM value, considered as a number.

The algorithm can be used for both static and ephemeral key with the public key size n >= 1024 bits including the case where one side uses a static key and the other uses an ephemeral one.

UKM parameter is optional (the default UKM = 1) and can take any value from 1 to $2^{\wedge}(n / 2)-1$. It is allowed to use a nonzero UKM of arbitrary size not exceeding $n / 2$ bits. UKM size of 128 bit or more is recommended for cases where the keys at least one of the parties are static.

$$
K(x, y, U K M)=(m / q * U K M * x \bmod q) *(y * P) \text {, }
$$

where $m$ and $q$ - the parameters of the elliptic curve according GOST $R$ 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012] notation.
KEK_VKO (x, y, UKM) = H_512 (K (x, y, UKM)).

This algorithm is defined by analogy with Section 5.2 of [RFC4357], but instead of the hash function GOST R 34.11-94 [GOST3411-94] (referred as gostR3411) applies the hash function $H \_256$, and $K(x, y$, UKM) is calculated at the public key size n >= 1024 bits and UKM size up to $\mathrm{n} / 2$ bits.
4.4. The parameters of elliptic curves

This section defines the elliptic curves parameters and identifiers that are recommended for the usage with signature and verification algorithms of digital signature in accordance with GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012] standard and with the key agreement algorithms VKO_GOSTR3410_2012_256 and VKO_GOSTR3410_2012_512.

This document does not negate the use of other parameters of elliptic curves.

### 4.4.1. Canonical form

This section defines the elliptic curves parameters of the GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012] standard for the case of elliptic curves with a prime 512-bit modulus in canonical (Weierstrass) form, that is given by the following equation defined in GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012]:

$$
y^{\wedge} 2=x^{\wedge} 3+a x+b
$$

In case of an elliptic curves with 256 -bit the parameters defined in [RFC4357] are proposed to use.
4.4.1.1. Parameters and identifiers

The parameters for each of the elliptic curve are represented by the following values which are defined in GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012]:
p the elliptic curve modulus;
a, b the coefficients of the equation of the elliptic curve in the canonical form;
q the order of the elliptic curve;
$(x, y)$ the coordinates of a point $P$ (generator of the prime order group) of the elliptic curve in the canonical form.

Both sets of the parameters are presented as ASN structures of the form:

SEQUENCE \{
a INTEGER,
b INTEGER,
p INTEGER,
q INTEGER,
x INTEGER,
y INTEGER
\}

The parameter sets have the following identifiers:

1. id-tc26-gost-3410-12-512-paramSetA, $\ll 1.2 .643 .7 .1 .2 .1 .2 .1 . \gg$;
2. id-tc26-gost-3410-12-512-paramSetB, $\ll 1.2 .643 .7 .1 .2 .1 .2 .2 . \gg$.

Corresponding values of the parameter sets can be found in Appendix A. 1.

### 4.4.2. Twisted Edwards form

This section defines the elliptic curves parameters and identifiers of the GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012] standard for the case of elliptic curves that have a representation in Twisted Edwards form with a prime 256-bit and 512-bit modulus.

A Twisted Edwards curve E over a finite prime field $F$ _p, $p>3$, is an elliptic curve defined by the equation:

$$
e^{\star} u^{\wedge} 2+v^{\wedge} 2=1+d^{\star} u^{\wedge} 2 \star v^{\wedge} 2
$$

where $e, d$ are in $F \_p, e d(e-d)!=0$.

A Twisted Edwards curve has an equivalent representation in the Weierstrass form defined by parameters $a, b$. The parameters $a, b, e$, d are related as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=s^{\wedge} 2-3 * t^{\wedge} 2 \\
& b=2 * t^{\wedge} 3-t^{\star} s^{\wedge} 2
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=(e-d) / 4, \\
& t=(e+d) / 6,
\end{aligned}
$$

Coordinate transformation is defined as follows:

```
(u,v) --> (x,y) = (s(1 + v) / (1 - v) + t, s(1 + v) / ((1 - v)
u)),
(x,y) --> (u,v) = ((x - t) / y, (x - t - s) / (x - t + s)).
```

4.4.2.1. Parameters and identifiers

The parameters for each of the elliptic curve are represented by the following values which are defined in GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012]:
p the elliptic curve modulus;
a, b the coefficients of the equation of the elliptic curve in the canonical form;
e, d the coefficients of the equation of the elliptic curve in the Twisted Edwards form;
$m$ the order of the elliptic curve group;
q the order of the subgroups of prime order elliptic curve group;
( $x, y$ ) the coordinates of a point $P$ (generator of the prime order group) of the elliptic curve in the canonical form;
(u, v) the coordinates of a point $P$ (generator of the prime order group) of the elliptic curve in the Twisted Edwards form.

Both sets of the parameters are presented as ASN structures of the form:

| SEQUENCE $\{$ |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| p | INTEGER, |
| a | INTEGER, |
| b | INTEGER, |
| e | INTEGER, |
| d | INTEGER, |
| m | INTEGER, |
| q | INTEGER, |
| x | INTEGER, |
| y | INTEGER, |
| u | INTEGER, |
| v | INTEGER |
| y |  |

The parameter sets have the following identifiers:

1. id-tc26-gost-3410-2012-256-paramSetA, $\ll 1.2 .643 .7 .1 .2 .1 .1 .1 \gg$;
2. id-tc26-gost-3410-2012-512-paramSetc, <<1.2.643.7.1.2.1.2.3>>.

Corresponding values of the parameter sets can be found in
Appendix A. 2.
4.5. Key derivation function KDF_GOSTR3411_2012_256

The key derivation function $K D F$ GOSTR3411_2012_256 based on HMAC_256 function is designed to generate a 256-bit keying material and is given by:

```
KDF (K_in, label, seed) = HMAC_256 (K_in, 0x01 | label | 0x00 |
seed | 0x01 | 0x00),
```

where

- K_in -- derivation key,
- label, seed -- the parameters, fixed and assigned by a protocol.

The key derivation function KDF_GOSTR3411_2012_256 is a special case of KDF_TREE_GOSTR3411_2012 function, described in the next section.
4.6. Key derivation function KDF_TREE_GOSTR3411_2012_256

The key derivation function KDF_TREE_GOSTR3411_2012_256 based on HMAC_256 and is given by:

```
KDF_TREE (K_in, label, seed, R) = K(1)| K(2)| K(3)| K(4)|...,
K(i) = HMAC_256 (K_in, [i]_2 | label | 0x00 | seed| [L]_2), i >=
1,
```

where
R a fixed external parameter, with possible values of 1, 2, 3 or 4;

K_in derivation key;
L the required size (in bits) of the generated keying material (an integer, not exceeding 256* (2^( $\left.8^{*} R\right)-1$ ));
[L]_2 byte representation of $L$, in network byte order;
i iteration counter;
[i]_2 byte representation of the iteration counter (in the network byte order), the number of bytes in the representation [i]_2 is equal to $R$ (no more than 4 bytes);
label, seed the parameters, fixed and assigned by a protocol.
The key derivation function KDF_TREE_GOSTR3411_2012_256 is intended for generating a keying material in size of $L$, not exceeding 256* $\left(2^{\wedge}\left(8^{*} R\right)-1\right)$ bits, and utilizes general principles of the input and output for the key derivation function outlined in Section 5.1 of NIST SP 800-108 [NISTSP800-108]. HMAC_256 algorithm with 256-bit output described in Section 4.1 .1 is selected as a pseudorandom function.

When $R=1$ and $L=256$ the function KDF_TREE_GOSTR3411_2012_256 is equivalent to KDF_GOSTR3411_2012_256 from the previous section.

Each key derived from the keying material formed using the derivation key K_in (O-level key) may be a l-level diversification key and may be used to generate a new keying material. The keying material derived from the 1 -level derivation key, can be split down into the 2nd level derivation keys. The application of this procedure leads to the construction of the key tree with the root key and the formation of the key material to the hierarchy of the levels, as described in Section 6 of NIST SP 800-108 [NISTSP800-108]. The partitioning procedure for keying material at each level is defined in the specific protocols.

### 4.7. Key wrap and unwrap

Wrapped representation of the secret key K (GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012] key or GOST 28147-89 [GOST28147-89] key) is formed as follows by using a given export key K_e (GOST 28147-89 [GOST28147-89] key) and a random UKM vector from 8 to 16 bytes in size:

1. Generate a random UKM vector.
2. With the key derivation function, using export key K_e as a derivation key, and a UKM vector as the value of seed, generate a key, denoted by KEK_e (UKM), where
KEK_e (UKM) = KDF (K_e, label, UKM),
where KDF function (see previous section) is used as a key derivation function for the fixed value

$$
\text { label }=(0 \times 26|0 \times B D| 0 \times B 8 \mid 0 \times 78) .
$$

3. MAC value GOST 28147-89 (4-byte) for the data $K$ and the key KEK_e (UKM) is calculated, initialization vector (IV) in this case is equal to the first 8 bytes of UKM. The resulting value is denoted as CEK_MAC.
4. The key $K$ is encrypted by the GOST 28147-89 algorithm in the Electronic Codebook (ECB) mode with the key KEK_e (UKM). The encoding result is denoted as CEK_ENC.
5. The wrapped representation of the key is considered (UKM CEK_ENC | CEK_MAC).
and the seed value that is equal to UKM.

During the key import the value of key $K$ is restored as follows from the wrapped representation of the key (GOST R 34.10-2012
[GOST3410-2012] key or GOST 28147-89 key [GOST28147-89] key) and the export key K_e:

1. From the wrapped representation of the key selects the sets UKM, CEK_ENC, and CEK_MAC.
2. With the key derivation function, using the export key K_e as a derivation key, and a random UKM value as the value of seed, generates a key, denoted by KEK_e(UKM), where
KEK_e (UKM) = KDF (K_e, label, UKM).
3. The CEK_ENC set is decrypted by the GOST 28147-89 algorithm in the Electronic Codebook (ECB) mode with the key KEK_e(UKM). The unwrapped key $K$ is assumed to be equal to the result of decryption.
4. MAC value GOST 28147-89 (4-byte) for the data $K$ and the key KEK_e(UKM) is calculated, initialization vector (IV) in this case is equal to the first 8 bytes of UKM. If the result does not equal to CEK_MAC, an error is returned.

The algorithms for wrapping and unwrapping of the GOST R 34.10-2012 [GOST3410-2012] keys are modifications of the CryptoPro Key Wrap and CryptoPro Key Unwrap algorithms, described in Sections 6.3 and 6.4 of [RFC4357].
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Appendix A. Values of the parameter sets
A.1. Canonical form parameters

```
Parameter set: id-tc26-gost-3410-12-512-paramSetA
```

```
SEQUENCE
{
    OBJECT IDENTIFIER
    id-tc26-gost-3410-12-512-paramSetA
    SEQUENCE
    {
        INTEGER
            00 FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
            FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
            FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
            FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FD
            C4
    INTEGER
            00 E8 C2 50 5D ED FC 86 DD C1 BD 0B 2B 66 67 F1
            DA 34 B8 25 74 76 1C B0 E8 79 BD 08 1C FD OB 62
            65 EE 3C B0 90 F3 OD 27 61 4C B4 57 40 10 DA 90
            DD 86 2E F9 D4 EB EE 47 61 50 31 90 78 5A 71 C7
            6 0
    INTEGER
            00 FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
            FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
            FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
            FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FD
            C7
            INTEGER
            00 FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
            FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
            FF 27 E6 95 32 F4 8D 89 11 6F F2 2B 8D 4E 05 60
            60 9B 4B 38 AB FA D2 B8 5D CA CD B1 41 1F 10 B2
            7 5
            INTEGER 3
            INTEGER
                00 75 03 CF E8 7A 83 6A E3 A6 1B 88 16 E2 54 50
                E6 CE 5E 1C 93 AC F1 AB C1 77 80 64 FD CB EF A9
                21 DF 16 26 BE 4F D0 36 E9 3D 75 E6 A5 0E 3A 41
                E9 80 28 FE 5F C2 35 F5 B8 89 A5 89 CB 52 15 F2
            A4
    }
}
```

Parameter set: id-tc26-gost-3410-12-512-paramSetB

## SEQUENCE

\{
OBJECT IDENTIFIER
id-tc26-gost-3410-12-512-paramSetB
SEQUENCE
\{
INTEGER



 6C
INTEGER
0068 7D 1B 45 9D C8 4145 7E 3E 06 CF 6 F 5E 25
17 B9 7C 7D 61 4A F1 38 BC BF 85 DC 80 6C 4B 28
9F 3E 96 5D 2D B1 41 6D 21 7F 8B 27 6F AD 1A B6
9C 50 F7 8B EE 1F A3 10 6E FB 8C CB C7 C5 1401
16
INTEGER



 6 F

INTEGER


0149 A1 EC 1425 65 A5 45 AC FD B7 7B D9 D4 0C
FA 8B 99671210 1B EA OE C6 34 6C 5437 4F 25
BD
INTEGER 2
INTEGER
00 1A 8F 7E DA 38 9B 09 4C 2C 07 1E 3647 A8 94
$0 F 3 C 123 B 697578$ C2 13 BE 6D D9 E6 C8 EC 73 35 DC B2 28 FD 1E DF 4A 3915 2C BC AA F8 C0 39
 BD
\}
\}
A.2. Twisted Edwards form parameters

Parameter set: id-tc26-gost-3410-2012-256-paramSetA

## SEQUENCE

\{
OBJECT IDENTIFIER
id-tc26-gost-3410-2012-256-paramSetA
SEQUENCE
\{

## INTEGER

00 FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FD 97
INTEGER
 7C E2 5E 2013 BF 95 AA 33 B 2 2C 65 6F 27 7E 73 35 INTEGER
29 5F 9B AE 7428 ED 9C CC 20 E7 C3 59 A9 D4 1A 22 FC CD 9108 E1 7B F7 BA 93 37 A6 F8 AE 9513 INTEGER
01
INTEGER
0605 F 6 B 7 C 183 FA 8157 8B C3 9C FA D5 1813 2B 9D F6 289700 9A F7 E5 22 C3 2D 6D C7 BF FB INTEGER
$010000 \quad 00 \quad 00 \quad 00 \quad 00 \quad 00 \quad 00$ 003 F 6337 FF 21 ED 98 D 70456 BD 55 BO D 831 9 C

INTEGER
$4000 \quad 00 \quad 00 \quad 00 \quad 00 \quad 00 \quad 00 \quad 00$
 INTEGER
 BB 65 8B $9196932 \mathrm{E} \quad 02 \mathrm{C} 7$ 8B 2582 FE 74 2D AA 28 INTEGER
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}32 & 87 & 94 & 23 & \mathrm{AB} & 1 \mathrm{~A} & 03 & 75 & 89 & 57 & 86 & \mathrm{C} 4 & \mathrm{BB} & 46 & \mathrm{E} 9 & 56\end{array}$
 INTEGER
OD
INTEGER
60 CA 1E 32 AA 47 5B 348488 C3 8 F AB 0764 9C E7 EF 8D BE 87 F 2 2E 81 F 9 2B 2592 DB A3 00 E7
\}
\}

Parameter set: id-tc26-gost-3410-2012-512-paramSetC

```
SEQUENCE
{
    OBJECT IDENTIFIER
    id-tc26-gost-3410-2012-512-paramSetC
    SEQUENCE
    {
        INTEGER
        00 FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
        FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
        FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
        FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FD
        C7
INTEGER
00 DC 92 03 E5 14 A7 21 87 54 85 A5 29 D2 C7 22
FB 18 7B C8 98 0E B8 66 64 4D E4 1C 68 E1 43 06
45 46 E8 61 C0 E2 C9 ED D9 2A DE 71 F4 6F CF 50
FF 2A D9 7F 95 1F DA 9F 2A 2E B6 54 6F 39 68 9B
D3
INTEGER
00 B4 C4 EE 28 CE BC 6C 2C 8A C1 29 52 CF 37 F1
6A C7 EF B6 A9 F6 9F 4B 57 FF DA 2E 4F 0D E5 AD
E0 38 CB C2 FF F7 19 D2 C1 8D E0 28 4B 8B FE F3
B5 2B 8C C7 A5 F5 BF 0A 3C 8D 23 19 A5 31 25 57
E1
INTEGER
O1
INTEGER
00 9E 4F 5D 8C 01 7D 8D 9F 13 A5 CF 3C DF 5B FE
4D AB 40 2D 54 19 8E 31 EB DE 28 A0 62 10 50 43
9C A6 B3 9E 0A 51 5C 06 B3 04 E2 CE 43 E7 9E 36
9E 91 A0 CF C2 BC 2A 22 B4 CA 30 2D BB 33 EE 75
50
INTEGER
00 FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
FF 26 33 6E 91 94 1A AC 01 30 CE A7 FD 45 1D 40
B3 23 B6 A7 9E 9D A6 84 9A 51 88 F3 BD 1F C0 8F
B4
INTEGER
3F FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
C9 8C DB A4 65 06 AB 00 4C 33 A9 FF 51 47 50 2C
C8 ED A9 E7 A7 69 A1 26 94 62 3C EF 47 F0 23 ED
INTEGER
00 E2 E3 1E DF C2 3D E7 BD EB E2 41 CE 59 3E F5
DE 22 95 B7 A9 CB AE F0 21 D3 85 F7 07 4C EA 04
3A A2 72 72 A7 AE 60 2B F2 A7 B9 03 3D B9 ED 36
10 C6 FB 85 48 7E AE 97 AA C5 BC 79 28 C1 95 01
```

```
4 8
INTEGER
00 F5 CE 40 D9 5B 5E B8 99 AB BC CF F5 91 1C B8
57 79 39 80 4D 65 27 37 8B 8C 10 8C 3D 20 90 FF
9B E1 8E 2D 33 E3 02 1E D2 EF 32 D8 58 22 42 3B
63 04 F7 26 AA 85 4B AE 07 D0 39 6E 9A 9A DD C4
0F
INTEGER
12
INTEGER
46 9A F7 9D 1F B1 F5 E1 6B 99 59 2B 77 A0 1E 2A
OF DF BO DO 17 94 36 8D 9A 56 11 7F 7B 38 66 95
22 DD 4B 65 OC F7 89 EE BF 06 8C 5D 13 97 32 F0
90 56 22 C0 4B 2B AA E7 60 03 03 EE 73 00 1A 3D
    }
}
```

Appendix B. Test examples

1) HMAC_GOSTR3411_2012_256

Key K:
$000102030405060708090 a 0 b 0 c \quad 0 d$ Oe 0f 10111213141516171819 1a 1b 1c 1d 1e 1f

T:

0126 bd b8 7800 af $21434145 \quad 65 \quad 6378 \quad 01 \quad 00$

HMAC_256(K, T) value:
a1 aa $5 f$ 7d e4 02 d7 b3 d3 23 f2 99 1c 8d 4534
$013137010 a 83754 f$ d0 af $6 d 7 c$ d4 92 2e d9
2) HMAC_GOSTR3411_2012_512

Key K:
$000102030405060708090 a \operatorname{0b} 0 c \quad 0 d$ Oe $0 f$ $101112131415161718191 a 1 b 1 c 1 d 1 e 1 f$

T:

0126 bd b8 78 00 af $21434145 \quad 65 \quad 6378 \quad 01 \quad 00$

HMAC_256(K, T) value:
a5 9b ab 22 ec ae 19 c6 5f bd e6 e5 f4 e9 f5 d8
54 9d 31 f0 37 f9 df 9b 905500 e1 7192 3a 77 $3 d 5 f 1530$ f2 ed $7 e 964 c$ b2 ee dc 29 e9 ad 2f 3 a fe 93 b 2814 f 79 f 500 0f fc 0366 c2 51 e6
3) PRF _TLS_GOSTR3411_2012_256

Key K:
00010203040506070809 0a 0b 0c 0d 0e 0f 10111213141516171819 1a 1b 1c 1d 1e 1f

Seed:

1847 1d 62 2d c6 55 c4 d2 d2 269691 ca $4 a 56$ $0 b 50$ ab a6 6355 3a f2 41 f1 ad a8 82 c9 f2 9a

Label:
$\begin{array}{lllll}11 & 22 & 33 & 44 & 55\end{array}$

Output T1:
ff $09664 a 44745865944 f \quad 839 e b b 48965 f$ 1544 ff 1 c c 8 e8 f1 6 f 247 e e5 f8 a9 eb e9 7f

Output T2:
c4 e3 c7 90 0e 46 ca d3 db 6a 01643063040 0e c6 7f c0 fd 5c d9 f9 0465235237 bd ff 2c 02
4) PRF_TLS_GOSTR3411_2012_512

Key K:
$000102030405060708090 a 0 b 0 c 0 d$ 0e 0f 10111213141516171819 1a 1b 1c 1d 1e 1f

Seed:

1847 1d 62 2d c6 55 c4 d2 d2 269691 ca 4a 56 $0 b 50$ ab a6 6355 3a f2 41 f1 ad a8 82 c9 f2 9a

Label:
$\begin{array}{lllll}11 & 22 & 33 & 44 & 55\end{array}$

Output T1:
f3 5187 a3 dc $9655113 a 0 e 84$ d0 6f d7 52 6c
$5 f$ c1 fb de c1 a0 e4 67 3d d6 d7 9d 0b 92 0e 65
ad 1b c4 7b b0 83 b3 851 c b7 cd 8e 7e 6a 91 1a 62 6c f0 2b 29 e9 e4 a5 8e d7 66 a4 49 a7 29 6d

Output T2:
e6 1a 7a 26 c4 d1 ca ee cf d8 0c ca 65 c7 1f $0 f$
88 c 1 f8 22 c 0 e8 c0 ad 94 9d 03 fe e1 3957 9f
72 ba $0 c 3 d 32$ c5 f9 54 f1 cc cd 5408 1f c7 44
0278 cb al fe 7b 7 a 17 a 986 fd ff 5 b d1 5d 1f
5) PRF_IPSEC_KEYMAT_GOSTR3411_2012_256

Key K:
c9 a9 a7 7320 e2 cc 55 9e d7 2d ce $6 £ 47$ e2 19
2c ce a9 5f a6 48670582 c0 54 c0 ef 36 c2 21

Data of $S$ :

0126 bd b8 7800 1d 8060 3c 8544 c7 270100

Output T1:
2101 d 80 c 47 db 54 bc 3 c 82 9b 8c 307 c 4755 508883 a6 d6 9e 60 1b 47 aa fb $0 a \operatorname{bc}$ a 4 ed 95

Output T2:
33 b 84 e d0 8f 9356 f 8 1d f8 d2 79 f0 79 c9 02 87 cb 45 2c 81 d4 1e 8038430886 c1 9212 aa
6) PRF_IPSEC_PRFPLUS_GOSTR3411_2012_256

Key K:
c9 a9 a7 7320 e2 cc 55 9e d7 2d ce 6 f 47 e2 19 2 c ce a9 5f a6 48670582 c0 54 c0 ef 36 c2 21

Data of $S:$
$0126 \mathrm{bd} \mathrm{b} 878001 \mathrm{~d} 80 \quad 60$ 3c 8544 c 7270100
Output T1:
$2 d$ e5 ee 84 e1 3d 7b e5 $3616 \quad 673913370 a \quad b 0$ 54 c0 74 b7 9b 69 a8 a8 4682 a9 f0 4 f ec d5 87

Output T2:
29 f6 0d da 45 7b f2 19 aa 2 e f9 5d 7 a 59 be 95 $4 d$ e0 08 f4 a5 0d $504 d$ bd b6 90 be 68060153
7) PRF_IPSEC_KEYMAT_GOSTR3411_2012_512

Key K:
c9 a9 a7 7320 e2 cc 55 9e d7 2d ce $6 £ 47$ e2 19 2c ce a9 5f a6 48670582 c0 54 c0 ef 36 c2 21

Data of $S$ :

0126 bd b8 7800 1d 8060 3c 8544 c7 270100

Output T1:
b9 55 5b 29 91 75 4b 37 9d a6 8e 6098 f5 b6 0e df 918 a 56204 b ff $53 \mathrm{a} 837 \mathrm{6d} 1 \mathrm{f} 57$ ed b2 34 a5 12328123 cd 6c 03 0b 5414 2e 1e c7 78 2b 0300 be a5 7c c2 a1 4c a3 b4 f0 85 a4 5c d6 ca

Output T2:
$37 \mathrm{~b} 1 \mathrm{e} 0865243 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{fb} 2914 \mathrm{8d} 27 \mathrm{4d} 3063 \mathrm{fc}$ bf b0 f2 f4 68 d5 27 e4 3b ca 41 fa 6 b b5 3e c8 df 21 bf c4 62 3a 2e 76 8b 645403 3e 095232 d1 8c 86 a6 8f 0098 d3 318175 f6 5905 ae db
8) PRF_IPSEC_ PRFPLUS_GOSTR3411_2012_512

Key K:
c9 a9 a7 7320 e2 cc 55 9e d7 2d ce $6 f 47$ e2 19
2c ce a9 5f a6 48670582 c0 54 c0 ef 36 c2 21

Data of $S:$

0126 bd b8 7800 1d 8060 3c 8544 c7 270100

Output T1:
$5 d$ a6 7143 a5 f1 2a 6d 6e $4742596 f 3924$ 3f
cc 61574591 5b 32591006 ff 78 a2 0863 d5
f8 8e 4 a fc 17 fb be 70 b9 509573 db 00 5e 96
26369846 cb $861999716 c 165 d$ d0 6a 1585

Output T2:
$4834495 a 43746 c$ b5 $3 f 0 a \operatorname{ba} 3 b$ c4 6e bc f8
77 3c a6 4a d3 43 c1 22 ee 2a 577557038157
ee 9 c 38 8d 96 ef 71 d5 8 b e5 c1 ef al af a9 5e
be 83 e3 9d 00 e1 9a 5d 03 dc d6 0a 01 bc a8 e3
9) VKO_GOSTR3410_2012_256 with 256-bit output on the GOST R 34.10-2012 keys (512-bit output) with id-tc26-gost-3410-12-512-paramSetA

```
UKM value:
1d 80 60 3c 85 44 c7 27
Private key x of A:
c9 90 ec d9 72 fc e8 4e c4 db 02 27 78 f5 0f ca
c7 26 f4 67 08 38 4b 8d 45 83 04 96 2d 71 47 f8
c2 db 41 ce f2 2c 90 b1 02 f2 96 84 04 f9 b9 be
6d 47 c7 96 92 d8 18 26 b3 2b 8d ac a4 3c b6 67
Public key x*P of A (curve point (X, Y)):
aa b0 ed a4 ab ff 21 20 8d 18 79 9f b9 a8 55 66
54 ba 78 30 70 eb a1 0c b9 ab b2 53 ec 56 dc f5
d3 cc ba 61 92 e4 64 e6 e5 bc b6 de a1 37 79 2f
24 31 f6 c8 97 eb 1b 3c 0c c1 43 27 b1 ad c0 a7
91 46 13 a3 07 4e 36 3a ed b2 04 d3 8d 35 63 97
1b d8 75 8e 87 8c 9d b1 14 03 72 1b 48 00 2d 38
46 1f 92 47 2d 40 ea 92 f9 95 8c 0f fa 4c 93 75
64 01 b9 7f 89 fd be 0b 5e 46 e4 a4 63 1c db 5a
```

Private key $y$ of part $B$ :
48 c8 59 f7 b6 f1 1585887 c c0 5e c6 ef 1390
cf ea 73 9b 1a 18 c0 d4 662293 ef 63 b7 9e 3b
8014070044918590 b4 b9 96 ac fe a4 ed fb
bb cc cc 8c 06 ed d8 bf 5b da 92 a5 1392 d0 db
Public key $y^{\star} P$ of $B$ (curve point ( $X, Y$ )):

```
19 2f e1 83 b9 71 3a 07 72 53 c7 2c 87 35 de 2e
a4 2a 3d bc 66 ea 31 78 38 b6 5f a3 25 23 cd 5e
fc a9 74 ed a7 c8 63 f4 95 4d 11 47 f1 f2 b2 5c
39 5f ce 1c 12 91 75 e8 76 d1 32 e9 4e d5 a6 51
04 88 3b 41 4c 9b 59 2e c4 dc 84 82 6f 07 d0 b6
d9 00 6d da 17 6c e4 8c 39 le 3f 97 d1 02 e0 3b
b5 98 bf 13 2a 22 8a 45 f7 20 1a ba 08 fc 52 4a
2d 77 e4 3a 36 2a b0 22 ad 40 28 f7 5b de 3b 79
```

KEK_VKO value:
c9 a9 a7 7320 e2 cc 55 9e d7 2d ce $6 f 47$ e2 19
2 c ce a9 5f a6 48670582 c 054 c 0 ef 36 c2 21
10) VKO_GOSTR3410_2012_512 with 512-bit output on the GOST R 34.10-2012 keys (512-bit output) with id-tc26-gost-

3410-12-512-paramSetA

## UKM value:

1d $80 \quad 60$ 3c 8544 c7 27

Private key $x$ of $A$ :
c9 90 ec d9 72 fc e8 4e c4 db 022778 f5 0 f ca
c7 26 f4 $6708384 b$ 8d $458304962 d 7147$ f8
c2 db 41 ce f2 2c 90 b1 02 f2 968404 f9 b9 be
6d 47 c7 9692 d8 1826 b3 2b 8d ac a4 3c b6 67

Public key $x * P$ of $A$ (curve point ( $X, Y$ ) :
aa b0 ed a4 ab ff 2120 8d 1879 9f b9 a8 5566
54 ba 783070 eb a1 0c b9 ab b2 53 ec 56 dc f5
d3 cc ba 6192 e4 64 e6 e5 bc b6 de a1 3779 2f
2431 f 6 c 897 eb 1 b 3c 0c c1 4327 b 1 ad c 0 a 7
914613 a3 07 4e 36 3a ed b2 04 d3 8d 356397
$1 b$ d8 758 e 87 8c 9d b1 140372 1b 4800 2d 38
46 1f $92472 d 40$ ea 92 f9 958 c 0 f fa 4 c 9375 6401 b 97 f 89 fd be $0 b 5 \mathrm{~b} 46$ e4 a4 63 1c db 5a

Private key $y$ of $B:$
48 c8 59 f7 b6 f1 1585887 c c0 5 c c6 ef 1390 cf ea 73 9b 1 a 18 c0 d4 662293 ef 63 b7 9e 3b 8014070044918590 b4 b9 96 ac fe a4 ed fb bb cc cc 8c 06 ed d8 bf 5b da 92 a5 1392 d0 db

Public key $y^{*} P$ of $B$ (curve point ( $X, Y$ )):

```
19 2f e1 83 b9 71 3a 07 72 53 c7 2c 87 35 de 2e
a4 2a 3d bc 66 ea 31 78 38 b6 5f a3 25 23 cd 5e
fc a9 74 ed a7 c8 63 f4 95 4d 11 47 f1 f2 b2 5c
39 5f ce 1c 12 91 75 e8 76 d1 32 e9 4e d5 a6 51
04 88 3b 41 4c 9b 59 2e c4 dc 84 82 6f 07 d0 b6
d9 00 6d da 17 6c e4 8c 39 le 3f 97 d1 02 e0 3b
b5 98 bf 13 2a 22 8a 45 f7 20 1a ba 08 fc 52 4a
2d 77 e4 3a 36 2a b0 22 ad 40 28 f7 5b de 3b 79
```

KEK_VKO value:

79 f0 02 a 6940 ce 7 b de 3259 a5 2e 015297 ad aa d8 4597 a0 d2 05 b5 0e 3e 1719 f9 7b fa $7 e$ e1 d2 66 1f a9 97 9a 5a a2 35 b5 58 a7 e6 d9 f8 8f $982 d$ d6 3f c3 5a 8 e c0 dd 5e $242 d 3 \mathrm{db}$ df
11) Key derivation function KDF_GOSTR3411_2012_256:

K_in key:
$000102030405060708090 a \operatorname{0b} 0 c \quad 0 d$ Oe $0 f$ 10111213141516171819 1a 1b 1c 1d 1e 1f

Label:

26 bd b8 78

Seed:
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { af } & 21 & 43 & 41 & 45 & 65 & 63 & 78\end{array}$
KDF (K_in, label, seed) value:
a1 aa 5f 7d e4 02 d7 b3 d3 23 f2 99 1c 8d 4534
$013137010 a 83754 f$ d0 af 6d 7c d4 92 2e d9
12) Key derivation function KDF_TREE_GOSTR3411_2012_256

Output size of $L$ :

512
K_in key:
$000102030405060708090 a 0 b 0 c \quad 0 d$ Oe $0 f$ 10111213141516171819 1a 1b 1c 1d 1e 1f

Label:

26 bd b8 78

Seed:
$\begin{array}{llllllll}a f & 21 & 43 & 41 & 45 & 65 & 63 & 78\end{array}$

Value of K1:

22 b6 837845 c6 be f6 5e a7 1672 b2 658310 86 d3 c7 6a eb e6 da e9 1c ad 51 d8 3f 79 d1 6b

Value of K2:

074 c 933059 9d 7f 8d 71 2f ca 54392 f 4 d dd e9 375120 6b 3584 c8 f4 3f 9e 6d c5 1531 f9
13) Key wrap and unwrap with the szOID_Gost28147_89_TC26_Z_ParamSet parameters

Key K:
$000102030405060708090 a 0 b 0 c 0 d$ Oe 0f 10111213141516171819 1a 1b 1c 1d 1e 1f

UKM value:
$\begin{array}{llllllll}a f & 21 & 43 & 41 & 45 & 65 & 63 & 78\end{array}$

Label:

26 bd b8 78

KEK_e(UKM) = KDF (K_e, label, UKM) :
a1 aa 5f 7d e4 02 d7 b3 d3 23 f2 99 1c 8d 4534
$013137010 a 83754 f$ d0 af 6d 7c d4 92 2e d9

CEK_MAC:

38 d5 8a a3

CEK_ENC :
b9 fb $9242950 f 843 f 0 f$ bd 5b 9a 5 f cf 9 f 17
f7 9e 6d 21581656 de $6 d$ c5 85 dd $627 a 440 a$
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