Internet Engineering Task Force	P. Hallam-Baker
Internet-Draft	Comodo Group Inc.
Intended status: Standards Track	October 2, 2012
Expires: April 5, 2013	

TOC

HTTP Authentication Considerations
draft-hallambaker-httpauth-00

Abstract

This draft is input to the HTTP Working Group discussion of HTTP authentication schemes.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as “work in progress.”

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 5, 2013.

Copyright Notice

Table of Contents

1. What is Wrong in Web Authentication

TOC

1.1. Password Promiscuity

TOC

1.1.1. Password Recovery Schemes

TOC

1.1.2. Password Recovery

TOC

1.2. Provider Lock In

TOC

1.3. Strong Credentials Compromised by Weak Binding

TOC

1.3.1. Confirmation vs Authentication

TOC

2. User Authentication is Three Separate Problems

TOC

2.1. Registration

TOC

2.2. Credential Presentation

TOC

2.3. Message Authentication

TOC

3. Deployment Approach

TOC

3.1. Password Managers as Transition Path

TOC

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997 (TXT, HTML, XML).

Author's Address

Phillip Hallam-Baker
Comodo Group Inc.
Email: philliph@comodo.com