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Abstract

This document lays out the requirements regarding the use of non-ASCII characters in RFCs. It includes examples for the different sections of an RFC.
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1. Introduction

For much of the history of the RFC Series, the character encoding used has been US-ASCII [ASCII]. This was a sensible choice at the time: the language of the Series is English, a language which only uses US-ASCII-encoded characters (ignoring for a moment words borrowed from more richly decorated alphabets). US-ASCII is the "lowest common denominator" for character encoding, making cross-platform viewing trivial.

There are limits to US-ASCII, however, which hinder its continued use as the exclusive character encoding for the Series. The increasing need for easily readable, internationalized content suggests it is time to allow non-ASCII characters in RFCs where necessary. Given the continuing goal of maximum readability across platforms, the use of non-ASCII should not be gratuitous in a document. This RFC describes the rules under which non-ASCII characters may be used in an RFC. These rules will be applied as the necessary changes are made to submission checking and editorial tools.

2. Basic requirements

Three fundamental requirements inform the guidance and examples provided in this document. They are:
o Searches of an index need to be able to find multiple ways of writing an author's name;

o People whose system does not have the fonts needed to display a particular RFC need to be able to read the non-canonical HTML, text, or PDF RFC correctly.

3. Rules for the use of non-ASCII characters

3.1. General usage throughout a document

The mention, as opposed to the use, of non-ASCII characters requires the use of Unicode identifiers. Including non-ASCII characters in the text is encouraged to make the mention clearer to readers with devices that can render the non-ASCII text. Including Unicode character names is allowed.

The distinction is between an occasion in which a word appears in a sentence in order to convey the meaning of that word (use), and an occasion in which a word appears in order to make some point about that word itself (mention).

Spelling for words commonly used in the English language will follow the guidance in the Mirriam-Webster dictionary. Other uses, including archaic or untraditional spellings, are not allowed.

Example:

Use:

SIP/2.0 200 = 2**3 * 5**2 но сто девяносто девять - простое
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP 192.0.2.198;branch=z9hG4bK1324923
Call-ID: unreason.1234ksdfak3j2erwedfsASdf
CSeq: 35 INVITE
From: sip:user@example.com;tag=11141343
To: sip:user@example.edu;tag=2229
Content-Length: 154
Content-Type: application/sdp

Mention:

For example, the characters "STPETER" (U+13DA U+13A2 U+13B5 U+13AC U+13A2 U+13AC U+13D2) from the Cherokee block look similar to the ASCII characters "STPETER" as they might look when presented using a "creative" font family.

3.2. Author Names
Valid Unicode is required, and for non-ASCII names, an ASCII-only identifier is required.

Example for the header:

Network Working Group               L. Daigle
Request for Comments: 2611           Thinking Cat Enterprises
BCP: 33                               D. van Gulik
Category: Best Current Practice       ISIS/CEO, JRC Ispra
                                          R. Iannella
                                          DSTC Pty Ltd
                                          P. Fältström (P. Faltstrom)
                                          Tele2/Swipnet
                                          June 1999

Example for the Acknowledgements:

OLD:
The following people contributed significant text to early versions of this draft: Patrik Faltstrom, William Chan, and Fred Baker.

PROPOSED/NEW:
The following people contributed significant text to early versions of this draft: Patrik Fältström (Patrik Faltstrom), 陈智昌 (William Chan), and Fred Baker.

3.3. Body of the document

Non-ASCII characters may be used only where necessary to clearly express the intended meaning of the text. When such characters are mentioned in the text, the following rules apply:

- Non-ASCII characters will require identifying the codepoint (e.g. U+0394)
- Use of the actual UTF-8 character (e.g., &#916;) is encouraged so that a reader can more easily see what the character is, if their device can render the text.
- If preferred by the author(s), the use of the official character names like "Greek Capital Letter Delta" is allowed.
Examples:

OLD (draft-ietf-precis-framework):
However, the problem is made more serious by introducing the full range of Unicode code points into protocol strings. For example, the characters U+13DA U+13A2 U+13B5 U+13AC U+13A2 U+13AC U+13D2 from the Cherokee block look similar to the ASCII characters "STPETER" as they might look when presented using a "creative" font family.

NEW/ALLOWED:
However, the problem is made more serious by introducing the full range of Unicode code points into protocol strings. For example, the characters U+13DA U+13A2 U+13B5 U+13AC U+13A2 U+13AC U+13D2 (ᏚᎢᎵᏊᏍ) from the Cherokee block look similar to the ASCII characters "STPETER" as they might look when presented using a "creative" font family.

ALSO ACCEPTABLE:
However, the problem is made more serious by introducing the full range of Unicode code points into protocol strings. For example, the characters "STPETER" (U+13DA U+13A2 U+13B5 U+13AC U+13A2 U+13AC U+13D2) from the Cherokee block look similar to the ASCII characters "STPETER" as they might look when presented using a "creative" font family.

3.4. Tables

Tables follow the same rules for identifiers and characters as the body. If it is sensible (i.e., more understandable for a reader) for a given document to have two tables, one including the identifiers and characters, one with just the characters, that will be allowed on a case by case basis.

Example: TBD

3.5. Code components

Use the U+ notation except within a code component where you must follow the rules of the programming language in which you are writing the code.

Example:

TBD
3.6. Bibliographic text

The reference entry must be in English; whatever subfields are present must be available in ASCII. As long as good sense is used, they may also include non-ASCII characters at author discretion. This applies to both normative and informative references.

Example:

Allowable addition to the above citation:

3.7. Keywords

Keywords must be US-ASCII only.

4. Normalization Forms

If the normalization matters to the content, the authors must submit in a normalization-resistant form. In other words, authors should not expect normalization forms to be preserved.

5. IANA Considerations

This document makes no request of IANA.

Note to RFC Editor: this section may be removed on publication as an RFC.

6. Internationalization Considerations

TBD

7. Security Considerations

8. Acknowledgements

With many thanks to the members of the IAB i18n program.
9. Normative References

10. Informative References


11. References

Author's Address

Heather Flanagan
RFC Editor

Email: rse@rfc-editor.org